

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 10675 OF 2021

MANISHA SURESH CHATTAR

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Shashikant E. Shekade

AGP for Respondent No. 1: Mr. A.R. Kale

Advocate for Respondent Nos 2 and 3 : Mr. S.S. Wagh h/f

Mr. Vikram Patil

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
S. G. DIGE, JJ.**

DATE : 6th DECEMBER, 2021

PER COURT :-

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and respondents.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled to appointment as '*Anganwadi Sevika*' as per the Government Resolution dated 13th August, 2014. The petitioner is working as '*Anganwadi Madatnis*'. The petitioner possesses necessary qualifications to be appointed as '*Anganwadi Sevika*'.

3. The learned counsel for the respondents submits that the petitioner has given representation. Respondent No. 3 would take decision upon it on its own merits within a period of three months.

4. Respondent Nos. 2 and/or 3 i.e. Competent Authority shall take decision upon the representation of petitioner as '*Anganwadi Sevika*', as expeditiously as possible, and preferably within a period of two months from today.

5. Writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

**(S.G. DIGE)
JUDGE**

**(S.V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE**