

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 6972 OF 2021

Shaikh Hasanoddin Shaikh Kamloddin
and others .. Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri D. P. Palodkar, Advocate h/f Shri A. A. Khan, Advocate for
Petitioners.

Shri S. G. Karlekar, A.G.P. for the Respondent No. 1.

Shri S. G. Chapalgaonkar, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.**

DATE : 12TH JULY, 2021.

FINAL ORDER :

. We have heard Mr. Palodkar, the learned advocate for
petitioners.

2. Mr. Chapalgaonkar, the learned advocate for the Municipal
Corporation on instructions makes a statement that the
corporation would follow the procedure U/Sec. 81(B) of the
Maharashtra Municipal Corporations Act. The learned counsel
further submits that, the corporation would also decide the
representation of petitioners on its own merits.

3. In view of the statement made by Mr. Chapalgaonkar, the
learned advocate for the Corporation on instructions that, the

corporation, if it wants to take further action would resort to procedure U/Sec. 81(B) of the Maharashtra Municipal Corporations Act and that legal procedure would be followed. So also would decide the representation of the petitioners for providing alternate shops, no further orders are required to be passed in the present writ petition.

4. In case some orders/resolutions are passed adverse to the interest of the petitioners, the petitioners would have remedy in accordance with law.

5. In the light of the above, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[R. N. LADDHA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/July 21