

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

1068 WRIT PETITION NO.6848 OF 2021

**M/S K.S.R. ENGINEERS THROUGH IS PROPRIETOR VINOD
DATTATRAM RATHOD**

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner:

Mr. P. R. Katneshwarkar h/f. Mr. Thorat M V
AGP for Respondents No. 1 & 4: Mr. P. S. Patil
Advocate for Respondent No. 2: Mr. B. A. Darak
Advocate for Respondents No. 7 to 9: Mr. Abhay
Rathod h/f. Mr. P. A. Rathod
Respondents No. 3, 5 & 6 - served

...

**CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.**

DATE: 23rd JULY, 2021

PER COURT:

1. Mr. Darak, learned Counsel for the Municipal Corporation submits that the petitioner had filed complaint to the Collector. The Collector had directed the Municipal Corporation to decide it but before the complaint of the petitioner can be decided the petitioner filed a writ petition.

2. Mr. Katneshwarkar, learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that let respondent no. 2 decide the complaint on merits.

3. Mr. Darak, the learned Counsel submits that within one (01) week the complaint of the petitioner would be decided on merits.

4. In view of that, writ petition is disposed of. All contentions are kept open. No costs.

[R. N. LADDHA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe