

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD
BAIL APPLICATION NO. 756 OF 2021

Kailash s/o Vilas Mane Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra Respondent

Mr. N.S.Ghanekar, Advocate for the applicant.
Mr. P.G. Borade, APP for respondent/State.
Mr. Yogesh Lagad, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

WITH

BAIL APPLICATION NO. 667 OF 2021

Gorakh Datta Gaikwad Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra Respondent

Mr. Umesh B. Gitte, Advocate for the applicant.
Mr. P.G. Borade, APP for respondent/State.
Mr. Yogesh Lagad, Advocate for respondent No. 2.
Mr. N.V. Gaware, Advocate for the informant.

CORAM : M.G. SEWLIKAR, J.

RESERVED ON : 21st October, 2021.

PRONOUNCED ON : 29th October, 2021.

OPERATIVE ORDER :

- i) Both the applications are allowed.
- ii) Kailash s/o Vilas Mane, applicant in Bail Application No. 756/2021 be released on PR Bond of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rs. One Lac) with one solvent surety in the like amount in connection with Crime No. 75/2018

registered at Jamkhed Police Station, Dist. Ahmednagar, for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 120(B), 143, 147, 148, 149, 212 of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections 3 and 7 read with Sections 25 and 27 of the Indian Arms Act, on condition that he shall not influence the witnesses and shall not tamper the prosecution evidence.

iii) Gorakh Datta Gaikwad, applicant in Bail Application No. 667/2021 be released on PR Bond of Rs. 1,00,000/- (Rs. One Lac) with one solvent surety in the like amount in connection with Crime No. 75/2018 registered at Jamkhed Police Station, Dist. Ahmednagar, for the offences punishable under Sections 302, 120(B), 143, 147, 148, 149, 212 of the Indian Penal Code and under Sections 3/25, 27, 7 of the Indian Arms Act, on condition that he shall not influence the witnesses and shall not tamper the prosecution evidence.

iv) Applicants shall not leave the country without the permission of the Court.

v) They shall deposit their passport with the concerned police station.

vi) Both the applications stand disposed of.

vii) It is clarified that the observations made in the

above order are restricted to the decision of these applications only and the trial Court shall not get influenced by the same and can come to its independent conclusion during trial.

(M. G. SEWLIKAR)
Judge

dyb