

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

968 MISC.CIVIL APPLICATION NO.141 OF 2021

PRIYANKA NITIN SOLUNKE
VERSUS
NITIN BHIMRAO SOLUNKE

...

Advocate for Applicant : Mr. Manoj Shinde h/f Mr. Suhas R. Shirsat
Advocate for Respondent: Mr. B. R. Kedar

...

CORAM : AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.

DATE : 28th July, 2021

PER COURT :

. Mr. Balbhim Kedar, learned counsel for the non-applicant submits, that the proceedings before the Family Court, Beed, have been instituted by the wife under Sections 498-A, 323, 504, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code and so also proceedings under Section 12(i-a) of the Hindu Marriage Act within a period of two months of the marriage. He further submits, that the husband has filed proceeding being H.M.P. No.263/2020 before the Civil Judge, Senior Division, Pandharpur, for the restitution of conjugal rights. The distance between the two places is about 150 k.m. and it would be difficult for the wife to travel. No other reason has been given as to why the proceedings instituted by the husband be

not transferred to the place of residence of the wife, considering which, H.M.P. No.263/2020 before the Civil Judge, Senior Division, Pandharpur shall stand transferred to the Family Court, Beed.

2. The parties undertake to appear before the Family Court, Beed on 13/08/2021, before which date, the records and proceedings be transmitted, by the Civil Judge, Senior Division, Pandharpur to the Family Court, Beed.

3. Application is, accordingly, allowed in the above terms.

(AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.)