

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.7750 OF 2021
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.10304 OF 2019
(Shobha Bhaskar Bankar Vs. The State of Maharashtra

Mr. S.B. Rajebhosale, Advocate for the applicant
Mr. P.N. Kutti, A.G.P. for the respondent/State
Mr. D.P. Palodkar, Advocate for the writ petitioner
Mr. P.R. Nangare, Advocate for respondent Nos.4 and 5 in W.P.
Mr. G.V. Salunke, Advocate for respondent No.7 in W.P.

CORAM : MANGESH S. PATIL, J.

DATE : 11.08.2021

PER COURT :

Heard Mr. D.P. Palodkar, learned Advocate for the petitioner,
Mr. S.B. Rajebhosale, learned Advocate for the applicant/intervenor, as
also Mr. P.R. Nangare, learned Advocate for respondent Nos.4 and 5.

2. Pursuant to the submissions made at the bar, it appears that
the Writ Petition arises out of an order of the Minister directing
reinstatement of the Sarpanch disqualified pursuant to an order under
Section 39(3) of the Maharashtra Village Panchayats Act, 1958.

3. The parties are unanimous that by passage of time, a new
body has come in the office and consequently, the cause of action does not
survive against the new body. Since the Writ Petition has now become

infructuous, it is disposed of. In view of disposal of the Writ Petition, pending Civil Application No.7750/2021 is disposed of.

4. Needless to state that the petitioner may have her own remedies and may invoke those if she has any grievance against the body in the office.

[MANGESH S. PATIL]
JUDGE

npj/CA7750-2021