

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

914 WRIT PETITION NO.6510 OF 2021

MADHURI ARVIND BHALE
VERSUS
THE DISCIPLINARY AUTHORITY / REGIONAL MANAGER
AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner: Mr. Kulkarni Dhananjay S
and Mr. Akshay D Kulkarni

Advocate for Respondents: Mr. S. V. Warad

...

914 WRIT PETITION NO.6511 OF 2021

JAI AJIT PATHAK
VERSUS
THE DISCIPLINARY AUTHORITY / REGIONAL MANAGER
AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner: Mr. Kulkarni
Dhananjay S and Mr. Akshay D Kulkarni
Advocate for Respondents: Mr. S. V. Warad

...

**CORAM: S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
M. G. SEWLIKAR, JJ.**

DATE: 18th JUNE, 2021

PER COURT:

1. We have heard Mr. Kulkarni, learned Counsel
for the petitioners and Mr. Warad, learned Counsel
for the respondents.

2. The petitioners are challenging the show cause notices and the conduct of enquiry. The petitioners can raise all possible defences in reply to the show cause notices and in any further proceedings if the petitioners resort to.

3. In view of the above and in view of the fact that the petitioners are assailing the show cause notices, we are not inclined to exercise our writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

4. Writ Petitions are dispose of. All contentions of the parties and challenges raised in the petition are kept open. No costs.

[M. G. SEWLIKAR, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

marathe