

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

930 WRIT PETITION NO.5177 OF 2020

VISHAL VASANTRAO SANAP AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Sayyed Tauseef Yaseen
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 4 : Mr. S.G. Sangle
...

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
S.G. MEHARE, J.J.**

DATED : 01st SEPTEMBER, 2021

PER COURT:-

1. Before addressing us on the grounds set out in the memo of petition, the learned advocate for the petitioners, on instructions, drew our attention to prayer clause (D-1) which reads as under:

“D-1. By issuing a Writ of Mandamus and or any other appropriate writ, order and/or direction in the like nature to direct the respondent authorities to decide their representation dt.11/02/2021 at Exhibit-M in an expeditious manner within stipulated period of four weeks.”

2. He submits that this prayer has been added by amending the petition and the representation filed by the petitioners should be considered by the competent authority – respondent no.2, on its own merits.

3. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off. We expect respondent no.2 to decide the representation of the petitioners dated

11.02.2021, on it's own merits and preferably within a period of six (6) weeks.

(S.G. MEHARE. J)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J)

Mujaheed//