

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

920 WRIT PETITION NO.6321 OF 2021

ADITYA BALAJIRAO ROYALAWAR ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6322 OF 2021**

SNEHA D/O RAMESH PITTHALWAD ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS. ..RESPONDENTS

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6323 OF 2021**

NAGESH S/O BALAJI MORE ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS. ..RESPONDENTS

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6324 OF 2021**

VAIBHAV S/O VAINAYAK DASWANTE ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS. ..RESPONDENTS

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6325 OF 2021**

SANJIVANI D/O RAMKISHAN ETUBONE ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS. ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Omgashad B. Boinwad, Advocate for the
Petitioners.

Mr. A. R. Kale, AGP for Respondents-State.

Mr. K. C. Sant, Advocate for Respondent No.2.

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.6326 OF 2021

CHAITANYA D/O SANJAY PALEKAR ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS. ..RESPONDENTS

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.6327 OF 2021

SONALI D/O LAXMAN SURYAWANSHI ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS. ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Sunil M. Vibhute, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. A. R. Kale, AGP for Respondents-State.

Mr. Sujeet D. Joshi, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.6328 OF 2021

PALLAVI D/O RAJENDRA KOLHE ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS. ..RESPONDENTS

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.6329 OF 2021

PANKAJ S/O BHARAT BHIMBARWAD ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS. ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. N. C. Swami, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. A. R. Kale, AGP for Respondents-State.

Mr. A. S. Bayas, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.6330 OF 2021

PALLAVI D/O NARESH IJJAPWAR ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS. ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Ganesh B. Patunkar, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. A. R. Kale, AGP for Respondents-State.

Mr. K. M. Suryawanshi, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.6331 OF 2021

MRUDULA D/O SHANKARRAO SURBULWAD ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS. ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Ganesh B. Patunkar, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. A. R. Kale, AGP for Respondents-State.

Mr. J. R. Patil, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

...

WITH

WRIT PETITION NO.6332 OF 2021

NIRAJ S/O NAGESH LAKHMAWAR ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS. ..RESPONDENTS

...
 Mr. Sunil M. Vibhute, Advocate for the Petitioners.
 Mr. A. R. Kale, AGP for Respondents-State.
 Mr. Shrikant Patil, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6333 OF 2021

SHIVANI D/O GOVINDRAO MARAKWAD ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS. ..RESPONDENTS

WITH
WRIT PETITION NO.6334 OF 2021

PRACHI D/O NETAJI CHOUDHARY ..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ORS. ..RESPONDENTS

...
 Mr. Ghanshyam K. Chinchole, Advocate for the
 Petitioners.
 Mr. A. R. Kale, AGP for Respondents-State.
 Mr. P. P. More, Advocate for Respondent No.3.

...
CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.

DATED : 04th MAY, 2021.

PER COURT:-

1. In all these matters the grievance of the petitioners it appears is that they have appeared for examination. The results are not declared and that they may be permitted to either prosecute their internship and/or further course of M.B.B.S. The validation proceedings in respect of these petitioners is pending before the Scrutiny Committee.

2. We have heard the learned counsel for respective petitioners, the learned A.G.P. and learned counsel for respondent no.2.

3. It is not disputed that in all these matters the validation proceedings are pending before the Scrutiny Committee.

4. The Scrutiny Committee shall decide the validation proceedings in respect of tribe claim of petitioners preferably within a period of six (06) months from the date of appearance of the petitioners before the Scrutiny Committee. The petitioners shall appear before the Scrutiny Committee on 16.06.2021.

5. In those matters where petitioners have appeared for final year M.B.B.S. examination and examination results are not declared, the examination results shall not be withheld only on the ground that validation proceedings are pending and in case they are otherwise eligible they may not be refrained from prosecuting their internship.

6. In those petitions where petitioners have appeared for either 1st year or 2nd year M.B.B.S. examination, their results shall not be withheld only on the ground that validation proceedings are pending and in case they are otherwise eligible they may be allowed to prosecute studies for further year.

7. The respondents may take further course of action depending upon the judgment that would be delivered by the Scrutiny Committee in their validation proceedings.

8. Writ Petitions are disposed of. No costs.

(SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE