

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY,
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.

962 WRIT PETITION NO.10445 OF 2021

PRATIK NAVNATH SARWADE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Mahendra P. Gandle.

AGP for Respondent/State: Mr. S. P. Tiwari.

Advocate for Respondent No.4: Mr. Pralhad D. Bachate.

...

CORAM : **S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.**

DATE : 03rd December, 2021.

P.C.:

. We have heard the learned counsel for petitioner, the learned counsel for respondent No.4 and the learned AGP.

2 It is submitted that the petitioner would only restrict the petition to the extent that the respondent No.1 may take decision pursuant to the inquiry report submitted by the Commissioner, Social Welfare, to respondent No.1.

3 The respondent No.1 may take appropriate decision in accordance with law and policy pursuant to the report submitted by the Commissioner, Social Welfare (page 38) so also the inquiry report, preferably within six months.

4 The parties may represent themselves before respondent No.1.

5 The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[R. N. LADDHA, J.]

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

nga