

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD.**

4 CRIMINAL WRIT PETITION NO. 456 OF 2021

Dilipkumar Uttam Dhakanepatil

...Petitioner

Versus

Police Superintendent, Nandurbar & Ors.

...Respondents

.....
Shri. Rahul S. Pawar, Advocate for the petitioner
Shri. S. D. Ghayal, APP for respondent nos. 1 and 2
.....

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
B. U. DEBADWAR, JJ.**

DATE : 03RD MAY, 2021

PER COURT : -

1. We have heard the learned Advocate for the petitioner and the learned Prosecutor.

2. On instructions, the learned prosecutor submits that the I.O. had attracted Sections 448 and 424 r/w 34 of the IPC, considering the contents of the FIR. After investigation, Sections 457, 380 and 451 of the IPC have been added.

3. In view of the above, this petition filed by the petitioner stands worked out and is, therefore, disposed off. In the interest of

justice, we observe that, while framing the charge, the trial Court would independently peruse the material before it and mention relevant sections of the IPC as it deems appropriate.

[B. U. DEBADWAR]
JUDGE

[RAVINDRA V. GHUGE]
JUDGE