

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

966 WRIT PETITION NO.5186 OF 2021

MAYURI MAHAJAN UPPALWAD AND ANOTHER .. Petitioners
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANOTHER ..Respondents

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr Anand V. Patil Indrale
AGP for Respondents / State : Mr S.P. Tiwari
...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
R.N. LADDHA, JJ.**

DATE : 03-08-2021

PER COURT : -

1. The learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that, the impugned notice directing reopening of the validation proceedings against the petitioners is without details and based on irrelevant facts. According to the learned Counsel, no reasons are detailed in the notice issued to the petitioners for reopening of the validity proceedings. The learned Counsel submits that, the validation proceedings cannot be re-opened on the basis of such a notice *sans* details.

2. The learned AGP, on instructions of the respondent / State, submits that the respondent / Committee would issue fresh notice to the petitioners detailing the reasons for reopening the validation proceedings.

3. In view of the said statement, the impugned notice does not survive. The Committee is entitled to issue fresh notice to the petitioners in accordance with law.

4. In light of above, writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[R.N. LADDHA]
JUDGE

[S. V. GANGAPURWALA]
JUDGE

...