

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO. 5863 OF 2021**

Annarao Dadarao Pole

.. Petitioner

**Versus**

The State of Maharashtra and others

.. Respondents

Shri Gajanan K. Sontakke, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Shri Amol N. Patale, Advocate for the Respondent No. 1.

Shri S. G. Karlekar, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 2 and 3.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND  
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.**

**DATE : 02ND SEPTEMBER, 2021.**

**FINAL ORDER :**

. We have heard the learned advocate for the petitioner. The learned counsel submits that the grievance of the petitioner is that award has been passed. The petitioner is paid compensation for the land acquired, but no compensation has been computed and paid for the house property situated on that land.

2. In that case the petitioner has remedy U/Sec. 3-G(5) of the National Highways Act before the Arbitrator.

3. The petitioner has also given an application to the competent authority. One of the prayer of the petitioner appears to be to direct the respondent No. 2 to decide his representation.

4. As the petitioner has statutory remedy available, we are not inclined to entertain the writ petition.

5. The petitioner may prosecute his representation with the respondent No. 2 as may be permissible under the law. The writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

**[R. N. LADDHA, J.]**

**[S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]**

*bsb/Sept.21*