

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 4267 OF 2018

GENDAJI KISHAN DESHINGE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Mr. Sachin S. Deshmukh, Advocate for petitioner
Mrs. Manjusha Deshpande, AGP for respondent - State
Mr. Mayur Salunke, Advocate h/f. Mr. V.D. Salunke, Advocate for
respondent no. 6
...

CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH &
ABHAY AHUJA, JJ.
DATE : 02-03-2021

ORDER :

1. Heard learned counsel for petitioner.
2. This is petitioner's third petition before the court to have similar relief. On the first occasion under order passed on 26-10-2004 in writ petition no. 6631 of 2004, petitioner could have liberty to make representation. It appears that, pursuant to same, representation dated 21-07-2009 had been made which had been decided vide order dated 23/25-11-2009 passed by Additional Commissioner, Tribal Development Department, Amravati, wherein as well, it appears that an opening had been left for the petitioner to take proper action/recourse. Thereafter, petitioner had been before this court in writ petition no. 2929 of 2011 and therein this court

had passed order dated 16-01-2014, once again letting the petitioner to have an opening in the form of a representation.

3. Affidavit-in-reply filed on behalf of respondents no. 3 and 4 dated 15-01-2020 to the petition shows that neither the petitioner nor the institution has filed any representation to the concerned authorities after aforesaid order dated 16-01-2014. Averments to the said effect have not been refuted by petitioner.

4. In view of aforesaid, it is not a case wherein indulgence can be given to petitioner in present petition.

5. Writ petition, therefore, is not being entertained and is rejected.

[ABHAY AHUJA]
JUDGE

[SUNIL P. DESHMUKH]
JUDGE

arp/