

(1)

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

4 WRIT PETITION NO.4108 OF 2020

**DHAMMAPAL EKNATHRAO UGHADE AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA, THROUGH THE SECRETARY
OF RURAL DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT AND OTHERS**

...

Mr H. V. Tungar, Advocate for petitioners;
Mr S. K. Tambe, A.G.P. for respondent No.1/State;
Mr S. R. Yadav Lonikar, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 & 3

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE
AND
AVINASH G. GHAROTE, JJ.**

DATE : 21st June, 2021

PER COURT:

1. We have briefly heard the learned Advocate for the petitioners, the learned A.G.P. on behalf of respondent No.1 and the learned Advocate on behalf of respondent Nos.2 and 3.

2. The limited grievance of the petitioners is that a pending representation dated 28/01/2020, addressed to respondent No.2 i.e. Chief Executive Officer, Zilla Parishad, Parbhani, is pending decision. Since the grievance of the petitioners is limited to a decision sought on the pending representation, we requested Shri. S. R. Yadav, who is

(2)

Panel Advocate on the Zilla Parishad, Parbhani, to assist in this matter.

On our request, he has caused an appearance in this matter.

3. Similar representations were directed to be considered on their own merits by an order of this Court on 05/08/2019, passed in Writ Petition No.978/2019 at the Aurangabad Bench. Based on such orders, a similar order has been passed by a Bench of this Court at the Principal Seat, on 16/07/2020 in Writ Petition No.2634/2020, filed by Maruti P. Misal & ors. versus State of Maharashtra.

4. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off. We expect respondent No.2 to consider the pending representation dated 28/01/2020 on its own merits and decide the same within two months from today. We make it clear that we have not expressed an opinion on the merits of the representation.

(AVINASH G. GHAROTE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

sjk