

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

928 WRIT PETITION NO.3989 OF 2020

VINAYAK SAHAKARI SAKHAR KARKHANA KAMGAR SANGHATNA
THROUGH ITS PRESIDENT AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...
Advocate for Petitioners : Mr. Patil Bankar Deelip N.
AGP for Respondent Nos.1 to 4 : Mr. S.B. Yawalkar
...

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE &
S.G. MEHARE, J.J.**

DATED : 01st SEPTEMBER, 2021

PER COURT:-

1. Before the learned advocate for the petitioners could open his oral submissions, we have perused the prayers put-forth below paragraph no.30. We called upon the learned AGP to respond to prayer clause (B) which reads as under:

“B. By appropriate writ or directions, the respondent authorities be directed to decide the proposal/representation dated 20/01/2020 (Exhibit G) submitted by the petitioner union to the respondents, within a stipulated period of 8 weeks.”

2. The learned advocate for the petitioners submits that the pending proposal may be considered by the competent authority of the respondents and may be decided within eight weeks. The learned AGP submits that a fresh proposal can be filed before the Chief Secretary, State of Maharashtra and the appropriate authority would deal with the same. The learned advocate for the petitioners is agreeable.

3. The learned advocate for the petitioners submits that they would also mention the proposal for erecting a solar plant in the land of the defunct factory due to which the citizens at large would be benefited.

4. As such, without dealing with the merits of this matter, the same is disposed off in the light of the statements recorded as above.

5. If the petitioners file a fresh representation/proposal setting out their purported arrears of salary/dues, in the office of the Chief Secretary, State of Maharashtra, the competent authority may decide the said proposal within four months from the date of receipt.

(S.G. MEHARE. J)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J)