

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 5440 OF 2021

Hussain Sakhauddin Mulla .. Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri V. C. Patil, Advocate h/f Shri U. B. Bondar, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Shri S. T. Tiwari, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 5444 OF 2021

Layak Mehtab Shaikh .. Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri V. C. Patil, Advocate h/f Shri U. B. Bondar, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Shri A. R. Kale, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 6202 OF 2021

Isaq Noor Karbhari and another .. Petitioners
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 6203 OF 2021

Ismail Bashir Maniyar .. Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 6204 OF 2021

Noor Pachasab Shaikh .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 6205 OF 2021

Bandeali Bashir Fakir .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 6206 OF 2021

Karim Akbar Dhekle .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 6207 OF 2021

Nisar Mohammad Shaikh .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 6208 OF 2021

Chand Mustafa Shaikh Rozewale .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

AND

WRIT PETITION NO. 6209 OF 2021

Subhash Madhavrao Birajdar .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 6210 OF 2021

Zahir Ajiz Patel @ Shaikh .. Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

AND
WRIT PETITION NO. 6211 OF 2021

Basavraj Shivraj Patil .. Petitioner
Versus
The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Shri V. C. Patil, Advocate h/f Shri U. B. Bondar, Advocate for the
Petitioner in all matters.

Shri S. P. Tiwari and Shri A. R. Kale, A.G.P. for Respondent Nos.
1 to 3 in respective matters.

CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.

DATE : 20TH APRIL, 2021.

FINAL ORDER :

. We have heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and
the learned Assistant Government Pleaders for the respondents/
State in respective matters.

2. The learned counsel for the petitioners submits that, the
respondents be directed to allow the petitioners to occupy their
respective tin sheds till actual start of construction work of
shopping complex by the Gram Panchayat or by the Government.

3. Naturally, the work of construction of shopping complex

has to be commenced by the contractor to whom work is allotted after giving vacant land.

4. The petitioners may make representations to the authority concerned with regard to their prayer and the authority may consider the same on its own merits and in accordance with law and policy.

5. The writ petitions are disposed of. No costs.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.] [S. V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

bsb/April 21