

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

REVIEW APPLICATION NO. 17 OF 2021
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 10400 OF 2019

Sattyam Travels,
Through its Proprietor
Shri. Sattyam Motiram Nawghare

...Applicant

Versus

The State of Maharashtra,
Through The Principal Secretary,
Home Department, Mantralaya,
Mumbai and Anr.

...Respondents

Mr V.S. Undre, Advocate for Applicant
Mr A.R. Kale, A.G.P. for Respondent-State

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATE : 2nd SEPTEMBER, 2021

PER COURT :

1. We have heard the learned counsel for the applicant and the learned A.G.P. for the non-applicants.
2. It appears that in view of Rule 29 of the Maharashtra Motor Vehicles Tax Rules, 1959, the appeal would be tenable to the State Government.
3. In light of that, the order date 28th January, 2020 stands recalled and modified as under :-

The appeal filed by the petitioner before the Secretary (Home Department) shall be decided by him on its own merits. The petitioner may prefer an appeal as provided under Rule 29 of the Maharashtra Motor Vehicles Tax Rules, 1959 before the State Government. In case, the said appeal is filed by the petitioner and pending then the same shall be decided by the Secretary (Home Department), Mantralaya, Mumbai on its own merits, in accordance with law, expeditiously and preferably within a period of four months.

4. The review application is accordingly disposed of.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.]

[S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

mta