

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

11 WRIT PETITION NO.3005 OF 2021

MUKUND DINKARRAO CHAUDHARI
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

.....
Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Rahul P. Dhase
AGP for Respondents 1 to 4: Mr. K. B. Jadhavar
.....

CORAM : V. K. JADHAV, J.
DATED : 22nd FEBRUARY, 2021

PER COURT:-

1. Though learned counsel for the petitioner has tried his level best to convince this Court about the maintainability of this writ petition, however, this court has consistently taken a view that the alternate efficacious remedy is available to the petitioner by filing revision under section 9 of the Maharashtra Money Lending (Regulation) Act, 2014 (for short 'the Act'). In the case **Vishwanath Marotrao Ganjre Vs. Divisional Joint Registrar and others** in Writ Petition No. 5362 of 2017 this Court (Coram : Rohit B. Deo, J.) by order dated 28.08.2018 has taken the similar view.

2. Thus, this writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail the alternate efficacious remedy of filing t revision under section 9 of the Act.

3. Upon filing such revision, the revisional authority may consider

the time spent in pursuing this writ petition before this Court for condonation of delay caused in filing the revision, if any.

(V. K. JADHAV, J.)

rlj/