

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO. 4956 OF 2021

Gorakh Bhaurao Pawar .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr. Kiran D. Jadhav, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. P. K. Lakhotiya, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
Mr. Sumeet s. Joshi, Advocate for Respondent No. 4.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 4958 OF 2021**

Vikram Pundlikrao Thale .. Petitioners
and others

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr. Kiran D. Jadhav, Advocate for the Petitioners.
Mr. P. K. Lakhotiya, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
Mr. Sumeet s. Joshi, Advocate for Respondent No. 4.

**WITH
WRIT PETITION NO. 4985 OF 2021**

Uddhav Gajanan Pawar .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others .. Respondents

Mr. Kiran D. Jadhav, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. P. K. Lakhotiya, AGP for Respondent Nos. 1 to 3.
Mr. Sumeet s. Joshi, Advocate for Respondent No. 4.

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
R. N. LADDHA, JJ.**

DATED : 02nd September, 2021.

PER COURT:-

. The respondents have raised an objection that it is only the District Court who can decide the quantum of compensation.

2. We are not inclined to consider the grievance of the petitioners in the present writ petitions.

3. It would be open for the petitioners to approach the concerned District Court for redressal of grievance and the payment of compensation amount as is permissible under the statute.

4. With these observations, writ petitions are disposed of. No costs.

5. Whatever applications are filed by the petitioners before the authority, the authority may remit the same to the District Court as may be permissible under law.

**(R. N. LADDHA)
JUDGE**

**(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE**

PS.B.