

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

15 WRIT PETITION NO.14295 OF 2021

AJAY NAMDEO PAWAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
AND

18 WRIT PETITION NO.14298 OF 2021

BABASAHEB GIRAJU ROHOKALE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
AND

19 WRIT PETITION NO.14299 OF 2021

SANTOSH SITARAM HARDE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
AND

24 WRIT PETITION NO.14316 OF 2021

ABA NAMDEO NAWALE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
AND

31 WRIT PETITION NO.14323 OF 2021

SNEHALATA BALKURSHNA SUMBRE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
AND

32 WRIT PETITION NO.14324 OF 2021

ASHA BHAUSAHEB REPALE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
AND

37 WRIT PETITION NO.14331 OF 2021

ARUNA DASHRATH DHUS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
AND

39 WRIT PETITION NO.14333 OF 2021

SUNIL MARUTI ZAWARE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
AND

43 WRIT PETITION NO.14338 OF 2021

ASHA TUKARAM VAIDYA
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
AND

49 WRIT PETITION NO.14344 OF 2021

DINKAR BHAU DHOKLE
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
AND

50 WRIT PETITION NO.14345 OF 2021

LALITA BHAUSAHEB JADHAV
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS
AND

51 WRIT PETITION NO.14346 OF 2021

USHA BHAUSAHEB BANKAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Mr.A.S. Khedkar, Advocate for petitioners.
Mr. S.B. Pulkundwar, Mr.S.K. Tambe, Mr.P.S.
Patil, Mr.A.R. Kale, Mr.S.P. Tiwari, AGP/Addl.GP
for the respondent/State.

...

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA
AND
S.G. DIGE, JJ.**

DATE : 20th DECEMBER, 2021

PER COURT :-

1. We have heard learned advocate Mr.Khedkar.

2. These petitions appear to be premature at this stage. The petitioners are issued with the show-cause notices. The petitioners are entitled to file reply to the said show-cause notices.

3. According to the learned Advocate, similarly situated persons have approached this Court and protection was granted to them.

4. This Court had granted protection to those, who were exonerated in enquiry. The case of the petitioners is otherwise. They are not exonerated in the enquiry. In the light of that it would not be possible to accede to the request of the petitioners.

5. Naturally the petitioners are at liberty to raise all the grounds in reply to the show-cause notices, including that of parity. The Disciplinary Authority naturally will have to consider the same.

6. In case the final decision goes against the petitioners, all the contentions of the petitioners are kept open.

7. Writ Petitions are disposed of.

(S.G.DIGE, J.)

(S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.)

SGA