

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 10332 OF 2018 IN
SECOND APPEAL ST. NO. 6287 OF 2018

Shindubai Radhakisan Adsure

Applicant

Versus

Malhari Mahadu Bhand & others

Respondents

Mr. R.S. Banik, Advocate holding for Mr. V.P. Latange, Advocate for
the applicant.

CORAM : M.G. Sewlikar, J.

DATE : 22nd January, 2021.

PER COURT :

1. Heard Shri Banik, learned counsel for the applicant. All the respondents are served but none of them has caused appearance.
2. Delay of 83 days is caused in preferring the appeal. It is alleged in the application that the applicant was not aware about passing of the decree by the appellate Court. Time was consumed in making the financial arrangement for preferring appeal. Delay is not intentional.

3. Having regard to the allegations made and the fact that no one has appeared to contest the application, I am inclined to condone delay subject to cost of Rs. 2,000/-. On depositing cost, the appeal be registered, if it is otherwise fit for registration. Civil Application stands disposed of.

(M. G. SEWLIKAR)
Judge

dyb