

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

965 WRIT PETITION NO.3017 OF 2020

ARJUN VITTHALRAO NAIKNAWARE

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS ..RESPONDENTS

...

Mr. Sachin S. Deshmukh, Advocate for the
Petitioner.

Mrs. V. S. Chaudhari, AGP for Respondents-State.

Mr. A. V. Rakh, Advocate for Respondent No.5.

...

**CORAM : S. V. GANGAPURWALA &
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATED : 12th JANUARY, 2021.

PER COURT:-

1. Mr. Deshmukh, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is entitled for the benefit of Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj Shetkari Sanman Yojna-2017 by virtue of which the petitioner is entitled for waiver of the loan. The learned counsel submits that the respondent-Bank is required to take up the cause of the petitioner and forward the correct proposal.

2. The learned counsel for respondent no.5 submits that there is short claim/incorrect amount of debt waiver after haircut received in the 18th Green list, therefore allotment is cancelled and petitioner did not get benefit of the said scheme. The learned counsel on instructions submits that

respondent no.5 would tally account details of the petitioner and forward it to the Government vis-a-vis benefit to be given to the petitioner. The State has also filed affidavit to the effect that the scheme is not yet closed.

3. In light of the above, the respondent-Bank shall forward the details as per the procedure to the Government for the benefit under Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj Shetkari Sanman Yojna-2017 qua the petitioner within 15 days. On receipt of the same, the Government shall consider it and take necessary decision in accordance with law and policy, expeditiously and preferably before closure of the scheme, as it is submitted that scheme is not yet closed.

4. Writ Petition is disposed of. No costs.

(SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI)
JUDGE

(S. V. GANGAPURWALA)
JUDGE