

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

925 CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO. 480 OF 2020

IN CRI.WP/186/2019

**DNYANESHWAR S/O. UDDHAVRAO KHARAT AND OTHERS
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND ANR**

Mr. Prasad Jarare, Advocate h/f Mr. S. S. Thombre, Advocate for the applicants

Mr. R. D. Sanap, APP for the respondent/State

CORAM : SURENDRA P. TAVADE, J.

DATE : 18-08-2021

P. C.

. It is an application for effecting the substituted service on respondent No.2. Notices were issued to respondent No.2. But, those were not served on him personally. Therefore, it is prayed that public notice be issued through news paper.

2. Perused the bailiff report. Report of bailiff shows that notice was served on sister-in-law of respondent No.2. It is also mentioned in the report that the respondent was communicated the service of notice on telephone. But, it cannot be treated as valid service. Therefore, this application is allowed.

3. The applicants are permitted to serve the respondent

(2)

criwp186.19

No.2 by substitute mode of service namely through the daily news paper within four weeks. The applicant will bear the expenses of paper publication.

4. The application is disposed of.

[SURENDRA P. TAVADE, J.]

Vishalk/criwp186.19