

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY**  
**BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**912 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 126 OF 2021**  
**IN WP/3795/2020**

Kavita Kailas Pawar .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra  
Through its Secretary and another .. Respondents

...

Mr. S. C. Yeramwar, Advocate for petitioner  
Mrs. G. L. Deshpande, A.G.P. for respondent no. 1

...

**AND**  
**915 CONTEMPT PETITION NO. 129 OF 2021**  
**IN WP/3794/2020**

Kailas Bankat Pawar .. Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra  
Through its Secretary and another .. Respondents

...

Mr. S. C. Yeramwar, Advocate for petitioner  
Mr. S. P. Sonpawale, A.G.P. for respondent no. 1

...

**CORAM : SUNIL P. DESHMUKH AND**  
**ABHAY AHUJA, JJ.**

**DATE : 24<sup>th</sup> FEBRUARY, 2021**

**PER COURT :-**

Learned A.G.Ps submit, as pandemic intervened, regular working had been hampered and on instructions, state that

proceedings before the committee are pending at the stage of vigilance inquiry and further state that the proceedings would be disposed of within a period of four months.

2. Learned counsel for contempt petitioners points out that there had been direction by this court on 9<sup>th</sup> March, 2020, to decide validation proceedings by end of June, 2020.

3. While it is stated that the matters are pending for vigilance, those be proceeded with expeditiously and it will be expedient that the committee will decide those at the earliest, preferably within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of writ of this order.

4. In view of statement, contempt petitions are disposed of.

**( ABHAY AHUJA )**  
**JUDGE**

**( SUNIL P. DESHMUKH )**  
**JUDGE**

rrd