

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

920 WRIT PETITION NO.2660 OF 2020

ASHOK HANMANTRAO KOLGAONKAR
VERSUS
THE STATE OF MAHARASHTRA AND OTHERS

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Mr. Ashtekar R. K.
AGP for Respondents-State : Mr. S. R. Yadav.
Advocate for Respondent Nos.3 & 4 : Smt P. V. Diggikar.

...

**CORAM : RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, AND
S. G. MEHARE, JJ.**

DATE : 17.11.2021

PER COURT :-

1. This matter was heard for some time. After we expressed our disinclination, the learned advocate for the petitioner sought a pass over beyond lunch recess.

2. After the matter was called out post lunch session, the learned advocate for the petitioner submits on instructions that the petitioner desires to withdraw this petition since he intends to make a request to the competent authorities, during the ensuing transfer process, for being considered to be relocated to a nearby place.

3. Smt. Diggikar, the learned advocate representing respondent Nos.3 and 4 submits that no assurance is being extended today. If such an application is filed by the petitioner, the same would be considered strictly in accordance with the policy / rules / practice that is followed.

4. In view of the above, this petition is disposed off as withdrawn.

(S. G. MEHARE, J.)

(RAVINDRA V. GHUGE, J.)

...

vmk/-