

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY  
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

**WRIT PETITION NO.2084 OF 2021**

01 Milind Vidyalaya Karmachari  
Sahakari Pat Sanstha Maryadit,  
Nagsenvan, Aurangabad,  
and 04 others

Petitioners

Versus

The State Co-operative Election  
Authority, Pune & others

Respondents

Mr.K.J.Suryawanshi, advocate for the Petitioners.  
Mr.S.K.Kadam, Standing Counsel for Respondents No.1 & 2.  
Mr.L.H.Kawale, advocate for Respondent No.3.

**CORAM : V.K.JADHAV, J.**

**RESERVED ON : 03<sup>rd</sup> February, 2021  
PRONOUNCED ON : 18<sup>th</sup> February, 2021**

**ORDER :**

1 By way of instant petition, the petitioner-Societies are challenging the legality, validity and propriety of the order dated 22<sup>nd</sup> January, 2021, passed by Respondent No.2- Election Officer whereby the objections raised by the petitioner-societies for inclusion of their names in the final voters' list for the election of Aurangabad District Central Co-operative Bank Limited, came to be rejected.

2 The learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that Respondent No.2 has issued the notification dated 27<sup>th</sup> December, 2019 calling for the resolutions of the Member Societies to nominate

their representatives and to prepare the provisional voters' list of the Respondent No.3-Bank. In terms of the said notification, the Member Societies were to pass the resolution of representative of the concerned Member Societies and it was to be submitted on or before 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2020. In view of the same, the petitioner-Societies have passed resolutions in the meetings on respective dates before the prescribed date I.e. 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2020, nominating names of their representatives to participate in the ensuing election of Respondent No.3-Bank. However, the State Government has issued orders on 27<sup>th</sup> January, 2020 and 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2020 directing postponement of the elections to District Central Co-operative Banks and V.K.S.S. Societies within the State of Maharashtra for a period of three months. Before the prescribed date i.e. 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2020, representatives of the petitioner-Societies had been to the office of Respondent No.3 to submit the copy of the resolution. However, since the elections were postponed under the orders of the State Government, the office of Respondent No.3 had not accepted the said Resolution submitted by the petitioner-Society.

3           The learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that so far as the said orders dated 27<sup>th</sup> January, 2020 and 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2020, issued by the State Government postponing the elections, came to be challenged before this Court by filing Writ Petition No.3155 of 2020 and by way of interim order dated 24<sup>th</sup> February, 2020, the Division Bench of this Court, issued a direction to the respondents to continue the

process of finalization of voters' list.

4           The learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that Respondents No.2 to 4, however, did not issue any communication to the petitioner for submitting the resolution of the representative. It was incumbent upon them to issue a letter to the petitioner-Society through R.P.A.D. as well as through personal service. The petitioner-society was not aware of the petition filed before this Court and the interim order passed in the said petition.

5           The learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that Respondent No.2 has published the program on 04<sup>th</sup> January, 2021 in the Daily Newspaper for finalization of voters' list of the Respondent No.3-Bank. As per the said program, the provisional voters' list was published on 04<sup>th</sup> January, 2021 by the Respondent No.2 and objections to the provisional voters' list were to be submitted up to 13<sup>th</sup> January, 2021. The objections were to be heard and decided on 25<sup>th</sup> January, 2021 and the final voters' list was to be published on 27<sup>th</sup> January, 2021. However, the petitioners-societies come to know about the said program through some other Society.

6           The learned Counsel for the petitioner-societies submits that the petitioner-Societies accordingly submitted applications to Respondent No.2 on 04<sup>th</sup>, 07<sup>th</sup>, 11<sup>th</sup>, 12<sup>th</sup> and 13<sup>th</sup> January, 2021 respectively, requesting therein to include the names of the petitioner

societies in the voters' list of Respondent No.3-Bank. It has been specifically brought to the notice of Respondent No.2 that the petitioner-Societies have already passed Resolutions before 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2020, however, it was not accepted in the office of Respondent No.2 due to the postponement of elections under the orders of the State Government. Even the petitioner-Societies have raised objection in that regard. However, without considering the guidelines issued under Circular dated 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2019, Respondent No.2 illegally rejected the objection/application preferred by the petitioner-society and refused to include petitioner-Society and its representative in the final voters' list for elections to Respondent No.3-Bank.

7           The learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the guidelines given in the Circular dated 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2019, have not been considered and no communication has been served upon the petitioner-Societies by Respondents No.2 & 3 for calling the Resolution of representative before preparation of provisional voters' list. The learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner-Societies would remain unrepresented in the ensuing elections to Respondent No.3-Bank.

8           I have heard Mr.S.K.Kadam, Standing Counsel for Respondents No.1 & 2. He has supported the order passed by Respondent No.2.

9 I have also heard Mr.Kawale, learned Counsel for Respondent No.3-Bank for some time.

10 It appears that so far as earlier process of elections to Respondent No.3-Bank is concerned, under the orders of the Government dated 27<sup>th</sup> January, 2020 and 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2020, the elections have been postponed. It appears that the Division Bench of this Court, in Writ Petition No.3155 of 2020, while issuing notice to the respondents, has directed the respondents to proceed further with finalization of voters' list. In view of the said direction, further process of election is commenced from the stage it was stopped.

11 At the outset, it is needless to mention that the Division Bench, by judgment and order dated 11<sup>th</sup> March, 2020 in bunch of writ petitions, including Writ Petition No.3155 of 2020, quashed and set aside the orders dated 27<sup>th</sup> January, 2020 and 31<sup>st</sup> January, 2021, issued by the Government. In the Civil Applications filed by the State Co-operative Election Authority, bearing C.A. (St.) No.10472 of 2020 and connected matters, filed in the above referred bunch of disposed of writ petitions, the Division Bench of this Court by order dated 23<sup>rd</sup> March, 2020, considering the pandemic situation, in para 8, has observed that the District Co-operative Election Officer, the State Government or such other competent Authority may take decision with regard to the postponement of the election and its process considering

the fact and situation and may take such other steps as are permissible and required under the Statute.

12           In terms of the guidelines dated 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2019, for calling resolutions from the Societies for sending their representative, the communication is required to be made through R.P.A.D. and if so required, one additional copy of the communication is required to be served on such Society by hand. Furthermore, the paper publication is also required to be given as regards the prescribed dates.

13           In the instant case, I do not find any record that the petitioner-societies have been served with the said communication in respect of sending of the resolution recommending name of the representative before the rescheduled date.

14           It has been further pointed out to me during the course of argument that the paper publication was given in Daily Newspaper "Punya Nagari", Aurangabad.

15           I have carefully gone through the said paper publication. It appears that the said paper publication has been published not in a widely circulated Newspaper and furthermore, it is not in the manner, as prescribed in the guidelines dated 10<sup>th</sup> December, 2019. It also appears that the said paper publication is not on the front side of the newspaper. Undisputedly, the said communication has not been sent

to the petitioner-Societies by hand.

16           It appears that as per the earlier scheduled program, the petitioner-Societies have passed the Resolutions of representative, however have not submitted it in the office of Respondent No.2, since the resolutions were not accepted in the office of Respondent No.2 in view of the fact that the State Government has postponed the elections for three months.

17           In view of the same, in my considered opinion, the petitioner-Societies would remain unrepresented in the ensuring elections to Respondent No.3-Bank for no fault of it.

18           In view of the same, I proceed to pass the following order:

(i)           Writ Petition is hereby allowed in terms of prayer clause “B” and “C”.

**(V.K.JADHAV)**  
**JUDGE**

adb