

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO. 1089 OF 2020

Vd. Ganesh Sitaram Magar

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Maharashtra and others

...Respondents

Mr S.T. Shelke, Advocate for Petitioner

Mr S.K. Tambe, Assistant Government Pleader for Respondent-State

Mr K.N. Lokhande, Advocate for Respondent Nos. 4 and 5

**CORAM : S.V. GANGAPURWALA AND
SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, JJ.**

DATE : 11th JANUARY, 2021

PER COURT :

1. We have heard Mr Shelke, the learned Counsel for the petitioner and Mr K.N. Lokhande, the learned Counsel for respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

2. It appears that the dispute was with regard to the leave encashment. Mr Shelke, the learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that respondent Nos. 4 and 5 may forward the pension proposal barring the benefit of leave encashment.

3. Mr Lokhande, the learned Counsel submits that wrong increments were given to the petitioner. Mr Lokhande, the learned Counsel refers to the letter dated 23.10.2019 issued to the petitioner by the Assistant Director of Ayurved, Regional Office, Pune.

4. The learned Counsel undertakes that the proposal of the petitioner for pension and retiral benefits as is admissible would be forwarded within a period of two weeks to respondent No. 3. The respondent No. 3 on receipt of pension proposal from respondent Nos. 4 and 5, shall within a period of two weeks thereafter forward it to respondent No. 2 and further steps shall be taken in case the same is forwarded to the Accountant General within a period of four weeks. The decision shall be taken on the same expeditiously.

5. Writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

[SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.]

[S.V. GANGAPURWALA, J.]

mta