

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

WRIT PETITION NO.1179 OF 2021

Kondabai w/o Raghunath Mate
and another

Petitioners

Versus

The State of Maharashtra & others

Respondents

Mrs.M.A.Kulkarni, advocate for the Petitioners.
Mr. S.B.Pulkundwar, AGP for Respondents No.1 to 5.
Mr. V.S.Undre, advocate for Respondents No.6 & 7.

CORAM : V.K.JADHAV, J.

DATE : 04th February, 2021.

PC :

1 I am not inclined to entertain this writ petition since alternate efficacious remedy is available to the petitioner by filing a Revision before the State. This legal position is not disputed.

2 In view of the same, writ petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail alternate remedy of filing Revision before the State. Upon filing such Revision, the State Government may consider the aspect of time spent in prosecuting instant writ petition before this Court for condonation of delay, if any, caused in filing the Revision.

**(V.K.JADHAV)
JUDGE**

adb