

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD

WRIT PETITION NO.567 OF 2014

AJAY RANGNATH SATPUTE
VERSUS
SHITAL AJAY SATPUTE

...

Advocate for Petitioner : Y. H. Lagad h/f Mr. N. V. Gaware
Advocate for Respondent/Sole: Miss. Pratiksha Kale (appointed)

...

CORAM : N. B. SURYAWANSHI, J.

DATE : 6th December, 2021

PER COURT :

. This petition challenges the order passed below Exhibit-1 in H.M.P No.412/2012, by which H.M.P No.412/2012 was dismissed under Order 9 Rule 3 of the Code of Civil Procedure and the proceeding was closed.

2. Both the learned advocates appearing for the petitioner/husband and respondent/wife state that the husband and wife have compromised the matter and they are residing together.

3. In that view of the matter, nothing survives in the present petition and the petition is disposed off as infructuous.

4. The High Court, Legal Services Sub-Committee Aurangabad to pay the fees as per rules to the learned advocate appointed on behalf of the Respondent within a period of four weeks from today.

(N. B. SURYAWANSHI, J.)

Sameer