

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
BENCH AT AURANGABAD**

22 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.494 OF 2017
IN CRAFT/230/2017

CHALISGAON MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, CHALISGAON, THROUGH IT S CHIEF OFFICER
SHRIKRUSHNA V. BHALSING
VERSUS

TEJAS CONSTRUCTION AND INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LTD. THROUGH MR.
PRADIP RAMRAO SHELAR AND OTHERS
AND

23 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.496 OF 2017
IN CRAFT/213/2017

CHALISGAON MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, CHALISGAON, THROUGH IT S CHIEF OFFICER
SHRIKRUSHNA V. BHALSING
VERSUS

TEJAS CONSTRUCTION AND INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LTD. THROUGH MR.
PRADIP RAMRAO SHELAR AND ANOTHER
AND

24 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.497 OF 2017
IN CRAFT/219/2017

CHALISGAON MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, CHALISGAON, THROUGH IT S CHIEF OFFICER
SHRIKRUSHNA V. BHALSING
VERSUS

TEJAS CONSTRUCTION AND INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LTD. THROUGH MR.
PRADIP RAMRAO SHELAR AND ANOTHER
AND

25 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.498 OF 2017
IN CRAFT/346/2017

CHALISGAON MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, CHALISGAON, THROUGH IT S CHIEF OFFICER
SHRIKRISHNA VASANTRAO BHALSING
VERSUS

TEJAS CONSTRUCTION AND INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LIMITED THROUGH MR.
PRADIP RAMRAO SHELAR AND ANOTHER
AND

26 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.499 OF 2017
IN CRAFT/233/2017

CHALISGAON MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, CHALISGAON, THROUGH IT S CHIEF OFFICER
SHRIKRUSHNA V. BHALSING
VERSUS

TEJAS CONSTRUCTION AND INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LTD. THROUGH MR.
PRADIP RAMRAO SHELAR AND ANOTHER
AND

27 CIVIL APPLICATION NO.500 OF 2017
IN CRAFT/223/2017

CHALISGAON MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, CHALISGAON, THROUGH IT S CHIEF OFFICER
SHRIKRUSHNA V. BHALSING
VERSUS

TEJAS CONSTRUCTION AND INFRASTRUCTURE PRIVATE LTD. THROUGH MR.

PRADIP RAMRAO SHELAR AND ANOTHER

...
Advocate for Applicant : Mr Dhorde Vikram R
Advocate for Respondent No.1: Mr. Ujwal S Patil
Advocate for Respondent No.2: Mr. S. H. Jagiasi

CORAM : SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.

DATE : 26th July, 2021.

ORDER:

1. These are the applications for condonation of delay moved by the applicant. Their seem to be delay of 79/80 days in filing the civil revision applications.
2. Heard Mr. Vikram Dhorde, learned Advocate for the applicant, Mr. Ulhas Patil, learned Advocate for Respondent No.1 and Mr. S. H. Jagiasi, learned Advocate for respondent No.2.
3. For the reasons stated in the applications for condonation of delay and in the interest of justice, the delay needs to be condoned.
4. All the applications for condonation of delay are hereby allowed subject to payment of costs of Rs.1500/- in each matter to be paid to the High Court Legal Services Sub Committee.
5. After payment of costs as stated above in each matter, the revision applications be registered after due scrutiny and procedure and thereafter those be placed before the Court for further orders.

(SHRIKANT D. KULKARNI, J.)

JPC