

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WA No. 13 of 2020**

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Collector District Mungeli Chhattisgarh.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue) Mungeli, District Mungeli Chhattisgarh.

---- Appellants**Versus**

1. Indradaman Singh S/o Raje Singh Aged About 39 Years Caste - Thakur, R/o Village Koliha, Ward No. 9, Post Office Doda, Tahsil Mungeli, District Mungeli Chhattisgarh., District : Mungeli, Chhattisgarh
2. The Chief Executive Officer Janpad Panchayat, Mungeli, District Mungeli Chhattisgarh, District : Mungeli, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Appellants	: Mr. Sudeep Agrawal, Deputy Advocate General alongwith Shri Chandresh Shrivastava, Deputy Advocate General
For Respondents	: None

WA No. 31 of 2020

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Collector District- Kabeerdham, Civil And Revenue District - Kabeerdham Chhattisgarh
3. The Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue), Kawardha, District - Kabeerdham

---- Appellants**Versus**

1. Ganeshu Ram S/o Girwar Gond Aged About 62 Years R/o Village - Biranpur Khurd, Gram Panchayat Daniyakhurd, Thana And Tahsil - Sahaspur Lohara, Civil And Revenue District - Kabeerdham Chhattisgarh,

District : Kawardha (Kabirdham), Chhattisgarh

2. Dhurchand S/o Shri Tibhu Satnami Aged About 49 Years R/o Village - Biranpur Khurd, Gram Panchayat Daniyakhurd, Thana And Tahsil - Sahaspur Lohara, Civil And Revenur District - Kabeerdham Chhattisgarh, District : Kawardha (Kabirdham), Chhattisgarh
3. Phuluk Ram S/o Shri Chamru Gond Aged About 58 Years R/o Village - Khapari Gram Panchayat Daniyakhurd, Thana And Tahsil - Sahaspur Lohara , Civil And Revenue District - Kabeerdham Chhattisgarh, District : Kawardha (Kabirdham), Chhattisgarh
4. Balram S/o Shri Ishari Singh Aged About 34 Years R/o Village - Khapari Gram Panchayat Daniyakhurd, Thana And Tahsil - Sahaspur Lohara , Civil And Revenue District - Kabeerdham Chhattisgarh, District : Kawardha (Kabirdham), Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Appellants : Mr. Sudeep Agrawal, Deputy Advocate General
alongwith Shri Chandresh Shrivastava, Deputy
Advocate General

For Respondents No. 1, 2 & 4 : None

For Respondent No. 3 : Shri Sunil Sahu, Advocate.

WA No. 40 of 2020

1. State of Chhattisgarh Through- The Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Nawa Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh., District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. The Collector District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.
3. The Sub Divisional Officer (R) Dongargarh, District- Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh.

---- Appellants

Versus

1. Hemlal Verma S/o Ramchandra Verma Aged About 31 Years Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat Makkatola, Tahsil Dongargarh, District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh
2. Dhaluram Verma S/o Amoliram Verma Aged About 57 Years R/o Village

Hirapur Gram Panchayat Makkatola, Tahsil Dongargarh, District-
Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

3. Ramji Verma S/o Baharu Ram Verma Aged About 66 Years R/o Village
Jhirapur Gram Panchayat Makkatola, Tahsil Dongargarh, District
Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh., District : Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

(Cause Title taken from Case Information System)

For Appellants	: Mr. Sudeep Agrawal, Deputy Advocate General alongwith Shri Chandresh Shrivastava, Deputy Advocate General
For Respondent No. 1	: Shri Parag Kotecha, Advocate.
For Respondents No. 2 & 3	: None

Hon'ble Mr. Arup Kumar Goswami, Chief Justice

Hon'ble Mr. N.K.Chandravanshi, Judge

Judgment on Board

Per Arup Kumar Goswami, Chief Justice

29/11/2021

1. None appears on behalf of respondents in Writ Appeal No. 13/2020, though, the office note dated 22.09.2021 indicates that dasti notice was served on the respondents.

2. In Writ Appeal No. 31/2020, Mr. Sunil Sahu, learned counsel appears for respondent No. 3. He submits that other respondents have not entered appearance despite service of dasti notice.

3. In Writ Appeal No. 40/2020, Mr. Parag Kotecha, learned counsel appears for respondent No. 1. He submits that despite service of dasti notice, other respondents have not entered appearance.

2. It is submitted by Mr. Sudeep Agrawal, learned Deputy Advocate General appearing for the appellants in all the three cases that by order dated

28.11.2019, the learned Single Judge allowed eight writ petitions. He further submits that five review petitions being Review Petition No. 1/2020, 2/2020, 5/2020, 6/2020 and 19/2020 were preferred in respect of the other five writ petitions and that same were allowed vide orders dated 28.01.2020, 30.01.2020, 17.01.2020, 30.01.2020 and 28.01.2020, respectively.

3. In that view of the matter, he submits that he may be permitted to withdraw these three appeals with liberty to file review petitions.

4. Prayer is not opposed by Mr. Sahu or Mr. Kotecha, learned counsel for the respective respondents.

5. Accordingly, the writ appeals stand disposed of granting liberty to the appellants to file review applications, as prayed for. No cost.

Sd/-
(Arup Kumar Goswami)
CHIEF JUSTICE

Sd/-
(N.K.Chandravanshi)
JUDGE