

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPC No. 5131 of 2021**

Dr. G.S. Dau S/o Shyamlal Singh, Aged About 55 Years R/o Village Lormi,
District Mungeli Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through Secretary, Department Of Revenue, Mahanadi Bhavan, Mantralaya, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. Collector, Kabirdham, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh
3. Sub Divisional Officer (Revenue), Pandariya, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh
4. Tahsildar, Pandariya, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh

----Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Astha Shukla, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Mr. Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order on Board****14/12/2021**

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition seems to be the action on the part of the respondent authorities, who have acquired the land belonging to the petitioner situated at khasra No. 124/2 area 0.23, 264 area 0.75, 320 area 1.49, 322 area 0.47, 324/1 area 0.05 and khasra No. 324/2 area 1.55 at village Neur, Tehsil Pandariya, District Kabirdham.
2. According to the petitioner, this property is one which was purchased by the father of the petitioner way back in the year 1969 by a registered sale deed and right from the time of petitioner's father, the petitioner is in peaceful possession of the said land and after the

death of the petitioner's father, the entire property came in the possession of the petitioner and the revenue records still shows that the property stands in the name of the petitioner's father. However, in the year 2020-21 when the petitioner obtained the B-1 khasra, he came to know about the fact that the property as of now in the revenue records stands in the name of Government as government land and it is this property, which the respondent is said to have acquired.

3. Be that as it may, considering the nature of dispute, it would be required for the petitioner to approach the concerned revenues authorities under Rule 116 of the Chhattisgarh Land Revenue Code for necessary correction in the revenue records by producing necessary documentary proof in support of his contentions. The said dispute is not one which can be decided exercising the writ jurisdiction of this Court.
4. Reserving the right of the petitioner to approach the concerned authorities under the Chhattisgarh Land Revenue Code for necessary correction of the revenue records, so far as the ownership of the property is concerned, the present writ petition in its present form stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved