

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR****WPS No. 6779 of 2021**

- Smt. Urmila W/o Dev Sai Shandilya Aged About 33 Years Presently Working As Cook At 100 Seater Girls Hostel, Pratappur, District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, School Education Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Commissioner Director Public Instructions, Indrawati Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Mission Director Samagra Shiksha, Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Collector Cum District Mission Director Samagra Shiksha, surajpur, District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh
5. District Education Officer- Cum District Project Officer (Ss) Surajpur, District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh,
6. Hostel Superintendent 100 Seater Girls Hostel Pratappur, District Surajpur, Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**


---

|                |   |                                    |
|----------------|---|------------------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Shri CJK Rao, Advocate             |
| For State      | : | Ms. Abhyunnati Singh, Panel Lawyer |

---

**S.B.: Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay K. Agrawal****Order On Board****09/12/2021**

Heard.

1. Learned counsel for the parties submit that the issue involved in the present case is covered by the decision rendered by this Court in the case of *Smt. Subani and ors. v. State of Chhattisgarh and ors.* (WPS No.5334/2021).

In that case, this Court observed in para 4 as under -

“4. Given the aforesaid submission by the counsel for the parties, the writ petition at this juncture stands disposed of directing the respondents No. 3 & 4 to take an appropriate decision in accordance with Rules governing the field and also taking into consideration the experience that the petitioners have and also keeping in mind the availability of the work. While taking a decision the respondents No.3 & 4 may also keep in mind the circular of the Department dated 01.09.2021.”

2. Accepting the submission of learned counsel for the parties, this petition is finally disposed off in terms of paragraph 4 passed in the case of **Smt. Subani** (supra). No order as to cost (s).

Sd/-  
( **Sanjay K. Agrawal** )  
Judge