

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

W.P.(C) No. 4740 of 2021

Bhumika Dhiwar Mahila Self Help Group, Through : Its President Bhumika Dhivar, aged about 31 years, R/o Dhimar Para Ward No. 32 Durg, Tahsil And District Durg Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary Department Of Women And Child Development, Mahanadi Bhawan Manttralaya Atal Nagar, New Raipur District Raipur
2. Director Directorate Of Women And Child Department Mahanadi Bhawan Manttralaya Atal Nagar New Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Commissioner, Office Of Commissioner Durg Division District Durg Chhattisgarh
4. The Collector, Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh
5. District Programme Officer, Women And Child Development Department Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh
6. Samudaik Vikas Samiti, Through The President Astardas Nayaapara Titurdih, Durg Tahsil And District Durg Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	: Mr. Jitendra Gupta, Advocate
For State-Respondents	: Mr. Ashish Tiwari, G.A.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Rajendra Chandra Singh Samant

Order On Board

24/11/2021

1. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is Woman Self Help Group engaged in manufacturing and supply of the ready to eat food. The applications have been invited by the respondent No.5 for the allotment of the work regarding supply of ready to eat food. The petitioner society has submitted application, however, the marking that has been made according to the scheme of marking vide Annexure P-3 is fully arbitrary and unreasonable. The respondent No.6 has been given highest marks, whereas, the respondent No.6 does not qualify for

receiving any marks as given. The petitioner earlier filed an objection on 23.08.2021, which has been rejected by the respondent authorities. It is submitted that respondent No.5 is proceeding to finalize the process of allotment in illegal and arbitrary manner, therefore, appropriate direction be issued.

2. Learned State counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.1 to 5 opposes the petition and the submissions made in this respect. It is submitted that process of allotment of work order has not been finalized so far. The petitioner has opportunity to raise objection again and it will be only after the decision of the objection, the process shall be finalized. Hence, appropriate orders be passed.
3. Considered on the submissions and perused the documents placed on record. The petition is disposed off at motion stage. The petitioner is granted opportunity to file fresh objection within a period of 10 days from today and on filing of such objection by the petitioner, before respondent No.5, the respondent No.5 shall be obliged to consider on the objection filed by the petitioner and take decision on the same within a period of 15 days from the date the objection is received. It is also ordered that until the objection filed by the petitioner is decided by the respondent No.5, the process for allotment of work order with respect to supply of ready to eat food shall not be finalized. It is made clear that no observation has been made on the merits of the case.
4. With these observations, this petition is disposed off.

Sd/-
(Rajendra Chandra Singh Samant)
Judge