

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 6303 of 2021**

- Parmanand Netam S/o Late Shri Yogiraj Netam Aged About 39 Years
R/o Village Rajpur, Post Rajpur, Tahsil Nagari, District Dhamtari
Chhattisgarh.,

---- Petitioner**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Mahanadi Bhawan,
Nawa Raipur , Atal Nagar, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.,
2. The Collector Dhamtari, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.
3. The Tahsildar Nagari, District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.
4. The Sarpanch Rajpur, Office Of Gram Panchayat , Block Nagari,
District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.
5. The Medical Officer Primary Medical Hospital, Gattasilli (Nagari),
District Dhamtari Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:Shri Sunil Verma and Ms.Lata Nayak, Advocates.
For Respondents 1, 2, 3 & 5	:Shri Shubham Verma, Panel Lawyer.

Hon'ble Shri Justice Sanjay S. Agrawal**Order on Board****15.11.2021**

1. By way of this petition, the petitioner is praying for a direction to respondent authorities to consider his application for grant of compassionate appointment owing to sudden and sad demise of his brother, namely, Yogiraj Netam.

2. According to the petitioner, the brother of him, namely, Yogiraj Netam was working on the post of Patwari in Patwari Halka No. 24, Village Bhitardas, Tahsil Nagari, District Dhamtari, who died in harness on 21.04.2021 on account of getting infected with the Covid-19, during treatment

in Primary Medical Hospital, Gattasilli (Nagari), District Dhamtari. It is contended further that after the death of his brother, the petitioner and his family members are facing very hardship and being dependent upon his brother, the petitioner has moved an application on 28.08.2021 (Annexure P/2) before the Collector, Dhamtari praying for his appointment on compassionate ground as his parents and widow of deceased, namely Kalendri Bai Netam have already submitted their consent letters in this regard. However, despite submission of representation / application for appointment on compassionate ground, the same has not been decided till date, and therefore, it is submitted by the counsel for the petitioner that the concerned respondent authorities be directed to decide his application very sympathetically..

4. In view of the aforesaid contention, this Court feels that no fruitful purpose would be served in keeping the petition pending as it can be disposed of at this stage itself. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of by directing respondent authorities to take appropriate decision on the said application/representation made on 28.08.2021 (Annexure P/2) as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of 3 months from the date of communication of the order of this Court.

5. It is made clear that while disposing of the petition, I have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the concerned authority shall decide the same in accordance with law.

6. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-

(Sanjay S. Agrawal)
Judge