

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 367 of 2021**

Parman Kawachi S/o Shri Nakchheda Ram Kawachi, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Village Bharritola, Police Station Aamobeda, Tahsil Antagarh, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh

---- **Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of School Education, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Mantralaya New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Mantralaya New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Director, Directorate Of Chhattisgarh Public Instruction, Indravati Bhavan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Collector, Kanker, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh
5. Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat Kanker, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh
6. District Education Officer, Kanker, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh
7. District Project Coordinator, Rajeev Gandhi Shiksha Mission Kanker, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh
8. Chief Executive Officer, Janpad Panchayat Antagarh, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh
9. Block Education Officer, Block Antagarh, District Kanker, Chhattisgarh

---- **Respondents**

For Petitioner	:	Mr. U. P. S. Sahu, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Kapil Maini, P.L.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board**

05.02.2021

1. The grievance of the petitioner in the present writ petition is the inaction on the part of the respondents in not considering the claim of the petitioner for being appointed as a Shiksha Karmi Grade-III though he has all the qualification required for the said post.
2. According to the petitioner, he has been working under the respondents on the post of Guruji since 1999 and in due course of time, he has also obtained the higher secondary qualification and therefore, he is entitled for being considered for the post of Shiksha Karmi Grade-III. Counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent no.8 has already made recommendations in favour of the petitioner but till date no such decision has been taken.
3. Given the facts and circumstances of the case, let the respondents 5 to 7 consider the claim of the petitioner for being appointed as a Shiksha Karmi Grade-III on its own merits at the earliest preferably within an outer limit of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
4. It is made clear that this Court has not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and the authorities are expected to decide the same purely on the basis of the rules and regulations governing the field.
5. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

**Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge**