

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

MCRC No.9410 of 2020

Rekhram Sahu S/o Satrugan Sahu Aged About 34 Years R/o Village
Dadarjhor, Post Manichouri, Police Station Gobranavapara, Raipur District
Raipur Chhattisgarh ----- **Applicant**

Versus

State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station Gobra Navapara, Raipur
District Raipur Chhattisgarh ----- **Respondent**

For Applicant	:	Shri Akhilesh Mishra, Advocate
For Respondent/State	:	Shri K.K. Singh, G.A.

S.B. Hon'ble Shri Justice Manindra Mohan Shrivastava

Order On Board

13/04/2021

Heard.

1. This is third application for grant of bail filed by the applicant/husband which is alleged to be involved in dowry death.
2. The applicant has been arrested in connection with Crime No.486 of 2019 registered at Police Station- Gobra Navapara, District Raipur (CG) for the alleged commission of offence under Section 304-B of IPC.
3. Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that earlier bail application was rejected on 20.03.2020 and then the application was again rejected on 04.08.2020. The applicant approached the Supreme Court and liberty has been given to revive the application vide order dated 04.11.2020.

Learned counsel for the applicant would submit that the applicant is in jail since 20.11.2019 but till date, trial has not been concluded. Next submission is that during trial, father of the deceased Govind Ram Sahu (PW1), Mana Ram Sahu (PW2) and uncle of the deceased, Dujram Sahu (PW3) have been examined but their evidence does not inspire confidence and prosecution case is not supported from the evidence of the prosecution witnesses, who have so far been examined. Therefore, at this stage, applicant may now been granted bail.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail application and submits that some of the witnesses of the prosecution have been examined whereas some other important witnesses are yet to be examined. He would submit that even in the Court examination, some of the witnesses particularly father of the deceased has made clear allegation of demand of dowry and cruelty meted out to the deceased daughter.
5. This Court had earlier considered and rejected the bail application upon consideration of material on records and the charge-sheet. Some of the witnesses have been examined. Without commenting upon the merits of the case and the evidence which has been led so far, taking into consideration the nature of allegation and gravity, only on the ground that the applicant is in jail since 20.11.2019, I am not inclined to grant bail to the applicant. Therefore, the application is rejected. However, trial Court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial as soon as possible.

Sd/-
(Manindra Mohan Shrivastava)
Judge