

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 5258 of 2020**

1. Smt. Sabita Yadav W/o Shri Anand Yadav, Aged About 37 Years Working As Lecturer (L.B.) At Govt. Higher Secondary School Murmunda, Block Dhamdha, District Durg Chhattisgarh
2. Smt. Leena Kare, W/o Shri Laxmikant Kare, Aged About 35 Years Working As Lecturer (L.B.) At Govt. High School Matara, Block Dhamdha, District Durg Chhattisgarh
3. Smt. Chhaneshwari Sahu, W/o Shri Banshidhar Sahu, Aged About 39 Years Working As Lecturer (L.B.) At Govt. Higher Secondary School Murmunda, Block Dhamdha, District Durg Chhattisgarh
4. Smt. Kuleshwari Verma, W/o Shri Arun Kumar Verma, Aged About 48 Years Working As Lecturer (L.B.) At Govt. High School Dhour, Block Dhamdha, District Durg Chhattisgarh
5. Smt. Sushma Singh, (Before Marriage Dwivedi), W/o Shri Ashish Singh, Aged About 37 Years Working As Lecturer (L.B.) At Govt. High School Nawagaon, Block Dhamdha, District Durg Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Govt Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of School Education, Ministry, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Secretary, Department Of Panchayat And Rural Development, Ministry, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, New Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Managing Director, Office Of State Project, Holistic Education, Chhattisgarh, Raipur Chhattisgarh
4. The District Education Officer, Durg, District Durg Chhattisgarh
5. The Chief Executive Officer, Zila Panchayat, Durg, District Durg Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Somkant Verma, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Vivek Ranjan Tiwari, Addl. A.G.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order On Board

15.01.2021

1. The limited grievances of the petitioners in the present writ petition is the non implementation of the benefits of the revised pay scale given to the petitioners on completing of eight years of service.
2. According to the petitioners, the respondents have taken a decision for granting the revised pay scale to the petitioners however the same has not been implemented. The counsel for the petitioners referred to Annexure P/1 dated 29.10.2018 whereby it has been decided to grant the benefits to the petitioners but though more than two years have passed, till date it has not been effected.
3. Given the limited grievances that the petitioners have, the writ petition at this juncture stands disposed of directing the respondents No. 1 & 2 to take a decision in respect of implementation of the decision already taken at the earliest preferably within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
4. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-

P. Sam Koshy
Judge