

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**Writ Petition (S) No. 5042 of 2020**

1. Mrs. Indra Markam W/o Mr. Rameshwar Singh Markam, Aged About 42 Years, By Occupation Lecturer (Local Body), Posted At Government Higher Secondary School Chawand, Block Narharpur, District Uttar Baster Kanker, Chhattisgarh
2. Raghunandan Patel S/o Mr. Narad Ram, Aged About 42 Years, By Occupation Lecturer (Local Body), Posted At Government Higher Secondary School Umradah, Block Narharpur, District Uttar Baster Kanker, Chhattisgarh
3. Pushpanjail Thakur D/o Mr. Ganjan Singh Thakur, Aged About 44 Years, By Occupation Lecturer (Local Body), Posted At Government Higher Secondary School Pataud, Block Kanker, District Uttar Baster Kanker Chhattisgarh
4. Dinesh Kumar Sahu S/o Mr. Fattu Lal Sahu, Aged About 33 Years By Occupation Lecturer (Local Body), Posted At Government Higher Secondary School Kondagaon, Block Kanker, District Uttar Baster Kanker Chhattisgarh
5. Mrs. Sulochana Nareti W/o Mr. Niranjan Kumar Nareti, Aged About 37 Years, By Occupation Lecturer (Local Body), Posted At Government Higher Secondary School Sarona, Block Narharpur, District Uttar Baster Kanker Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioners

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Education Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Secretary, Panchayat And Rural Development Department, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh

3. District Education Officer, District Uttar Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh

4. Chief Executive Officer, Jila Panchayat, District Uttar Bastar Kanker, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Parag Kotecha, Advocate
For State	:	Ms. Akankshya Jain, Dy. Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order On Board

08.01.2021

1. With the consent of the parties the matter heard finally at motion stage.
2. The limited grievance which the petitioners have raised in this present writ petition by virtue of order passed by this Court in the case of Mukesh Kumar Patel Vs. State of Chhattisgarh, WPS No. 2530/2017 decided on 26.11.2017 have been granted benefit of revised pay scale on completion of 8 years of service.
3. The counsel for the petitioners submits that the grievance which now remains is that the benefit is being provided to the petitioners prospectively and though the respondents have made a calculation which the petitioners were entitled for from the date of their completion of 8 years of service but no effective orders have been passed nor have department taken any steps for releasing the arrears of payment. The petitioners pray for appropriate direction to the respondents for releasing the said amount.
4. Without entering into the merits of the case and taking into consideration the judgment of this Court in the case of Mukesh

Patel(Supra) which has also been affirmed by the Division Bench and taking note of the fact that respondents have complied with the order to the extent of granting revised pay scale to the petitioners, let respondent No. 2 take an appropriate decision at the earliest so far as releasing of the arrears of payment which the petitioners are entitled for. Expecting a decision from the respondents within a period of 90 days from the date of receipt of copy of this order the present writ petition stands disposed of.

**Sd/-
P. Sam Koshy
Judge**