

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 5395 of 2021

U. Chudamani Patnayak S/o Shri U. Laxminarayan Patnayak Aged About 42 Years Training Officer, Trade - Diesel Mechanic, Posted At Government Industrial Training Institute (I.T.I), Suregaon, District Balod, R/o Station Road, Dongargarh, District Rajnandgaon, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Skill Development, Technical Education And Employment Department, Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Director Employment And Training, Indrawati Bhawan, Block - 04, First Floor, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh. Directorate Of Public Education, Indrawati Bhawan, Naya Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh
3. Joint Director Industrial Training Institutes, Regional Office, Raipur Region, Raipur, Chhattisgarh
4. Superintendent Government Industrial Training Institute (I.T.I.) Suregaon, District Balod, Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Ms. Meena Shastri, Advocate.
For State	:	Ms. Binu Sharma, PL

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

04/10/2021

1. The limited prayer that the petitioner makes in the present writ petition is that of considering the length of service that the petitioner has served as a Guest Lecturer/Training Officer under the respondent no.4, the petitioner be considered for regularization.

2. According to the petitioner, he was initially appointed in the year 2008 as a Guest Lecturer/Training Officer and since then he has been continuously working on the said post uninterruptedly and by now he has been put in 13 years of service. Contention of the counsel for the petitioner is that the post against which the petitioner is working is lying vacant at the institute and therefore the respondents should consider regularizing the services of the petitioner taking into account the experience that she has.
3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition at this juncture stands disposed of directing the respondents 1 to 3 to take an appropriate decision on the claim of the petitioner for regularization in service under the respondents. Let a decision in this regard be taken at the earliest preferably within an outer limit of 4 months from the date of receipt of this order.
4. It shall be the responsibility of the petitioner to apprise the respondents in respect of the order passed by this Court. In addition, the petitioner would be at liberty to make a fresh representation in respect of her claim.
5. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Rohit