

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 5384 of 2021**

1. Manoj Ram Sidar S/o Tula Ram Sidar Aged About 30 Years R/o Village Singhra, Police Station Malkharouda, District Janjgir Champa (C.G.).
2. Bhagirathi Patel S/o Hem Lal Patel Aged About 28 Years R/o Village Saltheona, Tahsil Baramkela, District Raigarh (C.G.).
3. Shivcharan Sao S/o Dashrath Sao Aged About 27 Years R/o Tetla, Tahsil Pussore, District Raigarh (C.G.).
4. Krishna Kumar Chandra S/o Lakeshwar Prasad Chandra Aged About 29 Years R/o Village Singhra, Police Station Malkharouda District Janjgir Champa (C.G.).

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Department Of Energy, Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar Naya Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.).
2. Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Limited Through The General Manager (Hr), Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Limited, Raipur, District Raipur (C.G.).
3. Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited Through The General Manager (Hr), Chhattisgarh State Power Distribution Company Limited, Raipur District Raipur (C.G.).

----Respondents

For Petitioners: Shri Govind Prasad Dewangan, Advocate
 For the State/Respondent No.1 : Shri Jitendra Kumar Pali, Dy. A.G.
 For Respondents No.2 and 3 Shri K.R. Nair, Advocate on caveat.

Single Bench: Hon'ble Shri Sanjay S. Agrawal, J
Order On Board

16.11.2021

1. By way of this petition, the petitioners are questioning the inaction on part of the respondents, particularly the Respondent No.2-Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Limited, as the said Respondent is not giving any preference in ongoing recruitment to the post of Attendant (Line) to the persons who have passed out and have the certificate of ITI (Industrial Training Institute) in Lineman and Electrician trade.

2. It is contended that on 12.08.2021, the said Respondent has issued an advertisement for recruitment to the post of Attendant (Line) and the procedure of recruitment and essential qualification for the said post as mentioned in the advertisement is 10th pass from any recognized Board. According to the Petitioners, there is no clause provided in the said advertisement that the preference in ongoing recruitment to the post of Attendant (Line) would be given to the persons who have passed out and have certificate of ITI. It is contended further that as the petitioners, who are ITI certificate holders and are trained/skilled labour, therefore, under such circumstances, the Respondent No.2 ought to give preference to the Petitioners. It is contended further that a representation in this regard has been made by the petitioners on 26.08.2021 (Annexure P-4) before the concerned authorities and praying for issuance of direction to the Respondent No.2 for its consideration.
3. The aforesaid limited contention has not been opposed by the counsel appearing for the Respondents.
4. In view of aforesaid contention of parties, the Court feels that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the petition pending as it can be disposed of at this state itself.
5. Accordingly, this petition is disposed of by directing the Respondent No.2- Chhattisgarh State Power Holding Company Limited to take an appropriate decision on the said representation (Annexure P-4) within a period of 45 days from the date of communication of the order of this Court. It is, however, made clear that while disposing of this writ petition, I have not expressed any opinion on the merits of the case and

concerned Authority shall decide the representation in accordance with law.

6. With the aforesaid observation, the petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(Sanjay S. Agrawal)
JUDGE

Vivek

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR
Order Sheet

WPS No. 5384 of 2021

Manoj Ram Sidar **Versus** State Of Chhattisgarh

1	
16.11.2021	Shri Govind Prasad Dewangan, counsel for the Petitioners. Shri Jitendra Kumar Pali, Dy. A.G. for the State/Respondent No.1. Shri K.R. Nair, counsel for Respondents No.2 and 3, on caveat. Since the copy has already been supplied to Shri K.R. Nair, counsel for Respondents No. 2 and 3, and therefore, the default(s), as pointed out by the Registry is hereby dropped. Heard finally with the consent of the parties. Order dictated in open Court. Signed and dated separately. Sd/- (Sanjay S. Agrawal) JUDGE