

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**WPS No. 5448 of 2021**

1. Jeet Ram Chandravanshi S/o Shri R.K. Chandravanshi Aged About 35 Years Working As Constable At Police Station Bhoramdev, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh.
2. Mahesh Dhruw S/o Shri Daras Ram Dhruw Aged About 34 Years Working As Constable At Woman Sell, Kawardha, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh.
3. Dev Narayan Sahu S/o Shri R.C. Sahu Aged About 43 Years Working As Constable At Police Station Kawardha, (Kotwali), District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh.
4. Chandrashekhar Chandrakar S/o Shri R. Chandrakar Aged About 41 Years Working As Constable At Police Station Kumda, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh.
5. Harjendra Ratre S/o Shri Ramadhar Ratre Aged About 34 Years Working As Constable At Police Station Pandatarai, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh.
6. Neturam Dhurve S/o Shri P.R. Dhurve Aged About 34 Years Working As Constable, Police Line, Kawardha, District Kabirdham Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioners**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Home Department Ministry, Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Director General Of Police Police Head Quarter, Atal Nagar, Nava Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Inspector General Of Police Rang Durg, District Durg Chhattisgarh.
4. Deputy Inspector General Of Police Rang Durg District Durg Chhattisgarh

----Respondents

For Petitioners	:	Mr. Manohar Dewangan, Advocate
For State	:	Mr. Kunal Das, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy
Order on Board

01/10/2021

1. The grievance of the petitioners in the present writ petition seems to be the gradation list that has been prepared where the petitioners are being shown junior to the persons similarly placed in the district.
2. Perusal of the pleadings would show that the petitioners were initially appointed at District Rajnandgaon and subsequently on their request they have been transferred to District Durg and when the gradation list was published, the name of the petitioners have been shown giving them the bottom seniority.
3. The contention of the petitioners is that as regards the other range in the Police Department in the State of Chhattisgarh, the gradation list is being prepared exclusively taking into consideration the date of appointment and therefore the petitioners have made a representation to the authorities in this regard.
4. Prima-facie this Court is of the opinion that since the petitioners admit to have come from District Rajnandgaon to Durg on their own request and in the Durg district, they have been given the bottom seniority. The same seems to be in accordance with the guidelines and circulars and Rules governing the field of seniority. However, in case if the petitioners still are aggrieved of the decision, they have every right to make a representation to the respondents No.2 & 3 and if the petitioners make suitable representation in addition to the representation, which they have already been made, the respondents may consider and decide the same on its own merits in accordance

with the Rules and circulars governing the field particularly circulars dealing with seniority upon inter-district transfer being made.

5. With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved