

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

CRA No. 842 of 2020

- Vikram Pandey, S/o- Shivpujan Pandey, Aged About 20 Years, R/o Banjari Mathpara Police Chowk Manipur, P.S. Ambikapur, District Surguja Chhattisgarh. ---- **Appellant.**

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh, Through The District Magistrate, Surguja Chhattisgarh.

(Prosecution)

2. Harilal Lakda, S/o Shani Lakda, Aged About 44 Years, R/o Village Logsa, P. S. Lakhanpur, District Surguja Chhattisgarh (Informant) ---- **Respondents**

For Appellant	: Shri Rakesh Pandey, Advocate
For Respondent No.1/State	: Shri Sameer Oraon, G.A.
For Respondent No.2/ informant	: None

Hon'ble Justice Shri Gautam Chourdiya

Judgment on Board

12.02.2021

- 1) The appellant has preferred this appeal under Section 14 (A) of the Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 as the appellant was arrested on 15.03.2019 in connection with Special Session (POCSO) Case No. 16/2020 for the offence under Section 363, 366, 376 (2) (a) of IPC and Section 5 (a)/6 of the POCSO Act and Section 3(2-5) of the SC/ST Act, registered at Police Station- AJAK, Ambikapur, District- Surguja (C.G.).
- 2) At the outset, learned counsel appearing for the appellant seeks to withdraw this appeal with liberty to file appropriate application before appropriate Bench as and when occasion

arises.

- 3) Counsel for the State has no objection to the above prayer.
- 4) Permission granted.
- 5) Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty as stated above.
- 6) Registry is directed to return the certified copy of the impugned order to the counsel for the appellant on his furnishing true authenticated photocopy of the same.

Sd/-

(Gautam Chourdiya)
Judge

Nadim