

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR

WPCR No. 472 of 2018

- Prafull Agrawal S/o Late Shri Ashok Agrawal Aged About 52 Years R/o 74, Green Avenue, Daldal Seoni, Mova Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Home Department Of Home Affairs, Mantralaya Mahanadi Bhawani, Naya Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
2. Station House Officer, Police Station Civil Lines, Raipur District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
3. Shri Harbanshlal S/o Late Ratanchand, Aged About 63 Years Through Power Of Attorney Holder Prakash Kalash, S/o Late Sukhdev Singh Kalash, Aged About 55 Years, R/o Gurusukh Villa, V I P Road, Puraina, City, Tahsil And District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
4. Subhash Vin Trade Private Limited , A Company Duly Incorporated And Registered Under The Companies Act, 1956, Address, Fifth Floor, Ozone Tower, Fafadih, Raipur Tahsil And District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
5. Shri Subhash Sharma S/o Lokeshwar Prasad Sharma , Aged About 59 Years R/o L I G , C - 209, Shailendra Nagar, Raipur, Tahsil And District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
6. Shri Umendra Singh S/o Shri Ridmal Singh Aged About 35 Years Former Director, Subhash Vin Trade Private Limited, Address, Fifth Floor Ozone Tower, Fafadih, Raipur, Tahsil And District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
7. Shri Daman Kumar Sarthi, S/o Mahesh Kumar Sarthi, Aged About 36 Years Director, Subhash Vin Trade Private Limited, Fifth Floor, Ozone Tower , Fafadih, Raipur Tahsil And District Raipur Chhattisgarh.
8. Shri Sagar, S/o Girdhari, Aged About 35 Years Director, Subhash Vin Trade Private Limited, Address - Fifth Floor, Ozone Tower Fafadih, Raipur, Tahsil And District Raipur, Chhattisgarh.
9. Nikhil Choudhari, Manager, Panjab National Bank, Main Branch, Lalganga Mart, Motibag Chowk Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondents

For Petitioner : Shri Shailendra Shukla, Advocate

For State : Shri G.I. Sharan, Government Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice Narendra Kumar Vyas
Order on Board

02.09.2021

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that he may be permitted to withdraw the writ petition (criminal) with liberty to the petitioner to file fresh petition, if exigency so arises.
2. Considering the submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition (criminal) is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty in favour of the petitioner.

Sd-
(Narendra Kumar Vyas)
Judge

kishore