

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**WPS No. 5192 of 2021**

1. Smt. Anuradha Sharma W/o Late Suresh Sharma Aged About 48 Years R/o House No. C/11 Ravindranath Tagore Nagar Shikshak Colony Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh 495001, **--- Petitioner**

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary, Department Of Home / Public Prosecution, Mahanadi Bhawan, Mantralaya, Police Station And Post Rakhi, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh 492101,
2. The Director, Office Of The Director, State Of Chhattisgarh Department Of Home / Public Prosecution, Indravati Bhavan, Police Station And Post Rakhi, Atal Nagar, New Raipur, District Raipur, Chhattisgarh 492101,
3. The Secretary, State Public Service Commission Office Of The Secretary, Public Service Commission Shankar Nagar, Raipur, Chhattisgarh 492004,

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Bidya Nand Mishra, Advocate.
For State/Res. No. 1 & 2 :		Ms. Akanksha Jain, Dy. G.A.
For Respondent No. 3	:	Mr. B.D. Guru, Advocate.

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**Order On Board****27.09.2021**

1. The counsel for the petitioner at the outset submits that as of now he only wants that the Department may consider her representation so far as her claim for grant of differential treatment in the process of finalizing the list of eligible candidates for promotion to the post of ADPPO.

2. The claim of the petitioner is on the ground that the petitioner obtained the Law degree long ago and there are candidates who have obtained the law degree in the recent past and in the process, the persons who have obtained the law degree in the recent past by virtue of their seniority in the department and the length of services, would be marching ahead of the petitioner in the zone of consideration for promotion to the post of ADPPO and therefore the respondent-authorities should consider grant of seniority or fix the eligibility criteria on the basis the year of passing of the law degree.
3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the writ petition at this juncture is disposed of directing the respondents to take an appropriate decision on the representation so made strictly in accordance with the rules, regulations and guidelines applicable.
4. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-

P. Sam Koshy
Judge

J/-