

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR****WPS No. 5144 of 2021**

Hemant Kumar Banjare S/o Shri Vishwanath Banjare, Aged About 58 Years  
Presently Working As Principal At Govt. Girls High School Ghotiya, Block  
Dondi, District Balod Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioner****Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, School Education Department, Mahanadi Bhawan Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
2. The Secretary, Scheduled Caste And Scheduled Tribes, Development, Department Mahanadi Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Director, Chhattisgarh Public Instruction, Indrawati Bhawan, Atal Nagar, Naya Raipur, District Raipur Chhattisgarh

**----Respondents**


---

|                |   |                             |
|----------------|---|-----------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Mr. Somkant Verma, Advocate |
| For State      | : | Mr. Amit Buxy, Panel Lawyer |

---

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy****Order on Board****22/09/2021**

1. The petitioner in the instant petition seems to be aggrieved of the decision on the part of the respondents whereby the date of grant of promotion to the post of Principal has been changed.
2. According to the petitioner, he was promoted from the post of Lecturer to the post of Principal, High School vide order dated 08.11.2007. Initially when the gradation list was published in the year 2018 the petitioner's date of promotion was shown as 08.11.2007. The petitioner in the said gradation list was placed at serial No.470. However, in the subsequent gradation list that was published in 2021

the date of promotion of the petitioner has been reflected as 30.06.2010 and the petitioner's name is reflected in the gradation list at serial No.337. Thus, the petitioner has severely affected on the seniority front. The petitioner submits that he has already made a detailed representation to the respondent No.3 in this regard seeking redressal of his grievance and also for grant of proper seniority, however, till date no decision has been taken on his representation.

3. The State counsel submits that the claim of the petitioner would be considered in accordance with rules and regulations governing the field of seniority particularly taking into consideration the merger of two departments in the meanwhile.
4. Be that as it may, given the aforesaid submissions by the parties, the writ petition at this juncture stands disposed of permitting the petitioner to make a fresh representation to the respondents No.2 & 3 within a period of 15 days from today and the respondents No.2 & 3 in turn shall process and consider the claim of the petitioner by passing a reasoned and speaking order within an outer limit of 60 days from the date of receipt of fresh representation.
5. The writ petition accordingly stands disposed of.

Sd/-  
**(P. Sam Koshy)**  
Judge