

NAFR

**HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR**

**WPS No. 4874 of 2021**

Palash Kumar Dewangan S/o Late Sukhi Ram Dewangan Aged About 28  
Years Working As Assistant Grade -III, Tehsil Office Sakri, District Bilaspur  
Chhattisgarh

**---- Petitioner**

**Versus**

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through The Secretary, Revenue Department,  
Mantralaya, Mahanadi Bhawan, Nava Raipur, Atal Nagar, District Raipur  
Chhattisgarh
2. Collector Bilaspur District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh
3. Tehsildar Tehsil Sakti, District Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

**---- Respondents**

|                |   |                        |
|----------------|---|------------------------|
| For Petitioner | : | Mr. CJK Rao, Advocate. |
| For State      | : | Ms. Binu Sharma, PL    |

**Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy**

**Order on Board**

**14/09/2021**

1. The grievance of the petitioner seems to be the error that has crept in the  
gradation list that was published showing the seniority as on 01.04.2020  
the petitioner has been kept at serial no.56 counting his seniority from  
09.09.2019 whereas his seniority ought to had been counted from  
17.04.2012 and in that case the petitioner's seniority would had been at  
serial no.7 or 8.
2. Contention of the petitioner is that initially the petitioner was appointed on  
the 17.04.2012 and was posted at Tahsil Office Akaltara, District Janjgir  
Champa. However, on the administrative exigency the petitioner was  
transferred to Tahsil Sakri, District Bilaspur and in the gradation list it  
reflects the seniority of the petitioner has now been shown only from the

day, petitioner has joined at Sakri on 09.09.2019. Thereby the petitioner's previous seven years of service has not been counted for the purpose of grant of proper seniority.

3. Without entering into the merits of the case, the petitioner is directed to approach the respondent no.2 & 3 by way of detailed representation and respondent no.2 & 3 shall consider the grievance of the petitioner and decide the seniority of the petitioner on its own merits, if required by revising the seniority list in accordance with law within an outer limit of four months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
4. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-  
**(P. Sam Koshy)**  
**Judge**

Rohit