

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WPS No. 4692 of 2021

Dilip Kumar Vastrakar S/o Shri Kejhara Vastrakar, Aged About 48 Years
R/o Village Parsada, Post - Bharni, Tahsil - Takhatpur, Police Station -
Chakarbhata, District - Bilaspur, Chhattisgarh

---- Petitioner

Versus

1. State Of Chhattisgarh Through - Secretary Department Of Water Resources, Mantralaya, Naya Raipur, Raipur Chhattisgarh, District : Raipur, Chhattisgarh
2. Engineer - In - Chief Department Of Water Resources, Sihawa Bhawan, Civil Lines, Raipur, District - Raipur Chhattisgarh
3. Executive Engineer, Hasdeo Nahar Jal Prabandh Sambhag, Division - Bilaspur, District - Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

---- Respondents

For Petitioner	:	Mr. Abhishek Singh, Advocate.
For State	:	Mr. Suyash Dhar, Panel Lawyer

Hon'ble Shri Justice P. Sam Koshy

Order on Board

07/09/2021

1. The limited relief sought for by the petitioner in the present writ petition is for appropriate direction to the respondent for considering his claim for regularization.
2. According to the petitioner, he was initially engaged by the respondents way back in the [year 1989](#) and since then the petitioner has been continuously working with the respondents uninterruptedly. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he is entitled to be considered for regularization in terms of the circular of the State Government dealing with the regularization dated 05.03.2008 and also in the light

of the judgment of the Supreme Court in the case of **Secretary, State of Karnataka and others Vs. Uma Devi (3) and others, 2006 (4) SCC 1.**

3. Having heard the contentions put forth by the counsel for the petitioner, the present writ petition at this juncture stands disposed of. It is directed that subject to verification of entitlement of the petitioner in accordance with the circulars governing the field of regularization the claim of the petitioner to be scrutinized and processed for regularization at the earliest preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of copy of this order.
4. With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Sd/-
(P. Sam Koshy)
Judge

Ved