

NAFR

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH, BILASPUR**MCRC No. 6066 of 2021**

- Vrindavan Patel S/o Parakshit Patel Aged About 47 Years R/o Village- Beltikari, Thana- Singhoda, Tahsil- Saraipali, District- Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh.

---- Applicant**Versus**

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Police Station- Saraipali, District- Mahasamund, Chhattisgarh.

---- Respondent**AND****MCRC No. 6562 of 2021**

- Shriram Pradhan S/o Maheshwar Pradhan Aged About 45 Years R/o Village Lamkeni, Police Station Basna, Tahsil Saraipali, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh

---- Applicant**Versus**

- State Of Chhattisgarh Through Station House Officer, Police Station Saraipali, District Mahasamund Chhattisgarh

---- Respondent

 For Applicant in MCRC No.6066/2021: Shri Sangeet Kumar
 Kushwaha, Advocate

For Applicant in MCRC No.6562/2021 : Shri Manoj Paranjpe, Advocate
 For Respondent/State : Shri BP Banjare, Dy. Govt. Advocate

Hon'ble Shri Justice N.K. Chandravanshi**Order On Board****23.11.2021.**

1. Since both the above mentioned cases are arising out of same crime number, both are being heard and disposed of by a common order.

2. These are the first bail applications filed under Section 439 of the Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail to the applicants, who have been arrested on 03.8.2021 in connection with Crime No.96/2021 registered at Police Station Saraipali, Distt. Mahasamund (C.G.), for

the offence punishable under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 34 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC').

3. Brief facts of the case are that present applicants along with co-accused borrowed loan for Rs.3 lakhs from Punjab National Bank under the scheme of Kissan Credit Card by submitting false and fabricated documents in the bank. Based on this fact, present crime has been registered against the applicants and co-accused under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 34 of IPC.

4. Learned counsel for the applicants submit that the applicants have been falsely implicated in this case, they have not forged any documents and the loan amount taken by applicant Shriram Pradhan has been deposited in the bank with interest. They further submit that charge sheet has been filed, the applicants are in jail since 03.8.2021, conclusion of trial will take considerable time and co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail by the coordinate Bench of this Court on 12.4.2021 in MCRCA No.518/2021, hence, the applicants may also be granted bail.

5. On the other hand, learned counsel for the State opposes the bail applications.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties, perused the case diary and the material available on record.

7. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, nature and gravity of offence, detention period of the applicants and also taking into consideration that co-accused has already been granted anticipatory bail, charge sheet has been filed, there is no chance of influencing the witnesses or tampering the evidence, I feel inclined

to allow the bail application. Therefore, the applications under Section 439 of the CrPC are allowed. It is ordered that if each of applicants furnishes one solvent surety for a sum of Rs.25,000/- along with one personal bond of the like sum to the satisfaction of the trial Court concerned for their appearance before the concerned Court as and when directed by the said Court, they be released on bail.

Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
(N.K. Chandravanshi)
JUDGE